Asymptotic non-Markovianity

Giuseppe Petrillo,[1] Gianpaolo Torre,[2] and Fabrizio Illuminati[2,3,4,*]

[1] *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II, I-84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy*

[2] *Dipartimento di Ingegneria Industriale, Università degli Studi di Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II, I-84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy*

[3] *Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Nanotecnologia, Rome Unit, I-00195 Roma, Italy*

[4] *INFN - Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli, Gruppo Collegato di Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II, I-84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy*

(Dated: September 6, 2016)

We investigate the asymptotic dynamics of exact quantum Brownian motion. We find that non-Markovianity can persist in the long-time limit, and that in general the asymptotic behaviour depends strongly on the system-environment coupling and the spectral density of the bath. We show that there exists a threshold value beyond which non-Markovianity replaces entanglement as a resource in the asymptotic regime, yielding a nonclassical fidelity for the quantum teleportation of an unknown coherent state. This results establishes the peculiar nature of memory effects in quantum non-unitary evolutions compared to classical open system dynamics.

arXiv:1609.00917v1 [quant-ph] 4 Sep 2016

In recent years quantum non-Markovianity has became an important research subject in the investigation of fundamental quantum physical aspects that can be exploited for quantum technologies. Indeed, apart the indispensable role that non-Markovianity plays in various quantum phenomena, such as in quantum biology [1–4], it has been identified as a very useful resource for quantum information tasks including quantum cryptography [5], quantum metrology [6], and quantum control [7].
Consequently, significant efforts have been devoted towards the proper characterization, qualification, quantification, and operational application of quantum non-Markovianity, see Refs. [8, 9] for recent reviews.

In the present work we will investigate the asymptotic, long-time non-Markovian dynamics of Gaussian quantum channels. For states and channels of infinite-dimensional systems, some foundational results have been achieved in recent years, both concerning the characterization and quantification of the non-Markovianity of Gaussian channels [10–12] and with respect to applications, in particular concerning continuous-variable quantum teleportation [13, 14] and quantum criptography [5].

Due to the ubiquitous presence in physics of the bosonic-bosonic interaction described by a quadratic Hamiltonian, Gaussian channels play a key role in describing important physical processes, such as the transmission of light through fibres and random classical noise [15]. Consequently, understanding asymptotic non-Markovianity for Gaussian channels of continuous variable systems is worth also in view of applications to quantum technology tasks.

Memory effects can have a significant role in determining the asymptotic behaviour of quantum evolutions: indeed it has been shown that the asymptotic state depends strongly on the non-Markovian content of the dynamics [16]. In fact, the dependency of the asymptotic state on the initial conditions of the dynamics is a peculiar characteristic of non-Markovianity, and has been proposed as the very essence of memory effects [17]. Furthermore, the asymptotic effects of non-Markovianity can yield important information about the system-environment interaction, namely about the spectral densities of the bath [11].

In this work we study the asymptotic non-Markovianity of the exact Quantum Brownian Motion (QBM) channel, exploiting the recently introduced non-Markovianity measure based on the necessary and sufficient criterion for the non-Markovianity of a quantum evolution expressed in terms of the violation of the divisibility property of the map describing the channel [10]. As we will show in the following, the main result of our investigation is that, at variance with the secular approximated case [10], due to the presence of highly oscillating terms in the exact master equation Eq. [18], the non-Markovianity of the given evolution persists even when the final state of the system that evolves in the channel is independent of its initial state, a situation that it is commonly attributed to a memoryless (Markovian) evolution: indeed, the characteristic time scales that rule the dynamics play a central role in determining the asymptotic system state. We highlight this property of the evolution in the particular case of the quantum teleportation protocol, for which non-Markovianity at finite-time dynamics has already been shown to play an important role in improving the protocol efficiency [14].

We consider the evolution of an harmonic quantum oscillator of characteristic frequency ω_0 in interaction with n-independent bosonic quantum oscillators that constitute the environment (the QBM) [13, 19–21]:

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -i[H_0(t), \rho(t)] - i\gamma(t)[x, \{p, \rho(t)\}] - \Delta(t)[x, [x, \rho(t)]] + \Pi(t)[x, [p, \rho(t)]] + \frac{i}{2} r(t)[x^2, \rho(t)]; \quad (1)$$

where $H_0(t)$ is the free Hamiltonian, $\gamma(t)$ is a damping coefficient, $r(t)$ is the renormalization coefficient that changes the oscillator frequency, $\Delta(t)$, $\Pi(t)$ are respectively the direct and anomalous diffusion coefficients, and x and p are the quadrature operators. In the weak-coupling limit, one can show that $r(t)$ gives a negligible contribution and it can be neglected [22].

To highlighting the non-Markovian content of the dynamics, we further consider the secular approximated form of Eq. (1) obtained neglecting the terms that oscillate very fast [20, 23]; in this case the only coefficients that rule the dynamics are the damping $\gamma(t)$ and the direct diffusion coefficient $\Delta(t)$.
It is possible to show that the solution of Eq. (1) is given in terms of characteristic function by the relation

$$\chi_t(\Lambda) = \chi_0[e^{-\frac{\Gamma(t)}{2}} R^{-1}(t)\Lambda] e^{-\Lambda^\intercal \bar{W}(t)\Lambda}; \quad (2)$$

where $R(t)$ is the rotation matrix with angle $\omega_0 t$, ω_0 is the frequency of harmonic oscillator, $\Lambda = (x, p)^\intercal$ is the coordinate vector, and $W(t)$ is a matrix whose elements depends on the master equation coefficients.
To obtain, for a given initial state, the explicit solution it is necessary to model the system-environment coupling, namely to choose the spectral density.

We consider the following Ohmic spectral density:

$$J(\omega) = \omega e^{-\frac{\omega}{\omega_c}}; \quad (3)$$

where ω_c is the cut-off frequency of the bath. We further consider the high-temperature limit. The explicit expression of the master equation coefficients are reported in [24].

The non-Markovianity of the evolution can be quantified to what extent the given evolution departs from a divisible dynamics, namely to what extent the intermediate map fail to be completely positive [10]. The measure can be straightforwardly obtained from the $2N \times 2N$ matrices (X, Y) that define the channel, whose explicit expressions can be obtained from Eq. (2) considering a Gaussian input state:

$$X(t) = e^{-\Gamma(t)} R(t)$$
$$Y(t) = 2\bar{W}; \quad (4)$$

In the secular approximated case the matrix $\bar{W}$ reduced to a diagonal matrix proportional to the identity.

The non-Markovianity measure, for every instant of time, then corresponds to negative part of the spectrum of the matrix $Y(t+\epsilon, t) - \frac{i}{2}\Omega + \frac{i}{2} X(t+\epsilon, t)\Omega X^\intercal(t+\epsilon, t)$,



FIG. 1. (color online) *Upper panel*: representation of the eigenvalues of non-Markovianity condition for the complete QBM for the case $\omega_c = 0.1$ and $\omega_0 = 1.0$. The full black and red dashed curves are respectively the ν_+ and the ν_- eigenvalue. The ν_- eigenvalue is negative for every instant of time. *Lower panel*: non-Markovianity measure Eq. (6) for $\omega_0 = 1$ and $\omega_c = 0.1$ (full black), $\omega_c = 0.3$ (red dashed), $\omega_c = 1.0$ (blue dotted). The asymptotic non Markovianity is different from zero and depends on the characteristic time scales, namely the frequencies, that characterized the dynamics.

whose $Y(t+\epsilon, t)$ and $X(t+\epsilon, t)$ are the matrices that define the intermediate dynamics in the limit $\epsilon \to 0^+$ [10].

It is shown in [10] that for the secular approximated case, the measure reads:

$$\mathcal{NM}(t) = \frac{1}{2N}\left[|\Delta(t) - \gamma(t)| + |\Delta(t) + \gamma(t)| - \Delta(t)\right]. \quad (5)$$

where N is the normalization factor, corresponding to the maximum of the $\mathcal{NM}(t)$ for the given dynamics. It is possible to show that in the high-T limit $\Delta(t) \gg \gamma(t)$ for any t, namely a non-Markovianity different from zero corresponds a negative diffusion coefficients. Since in the long time limit $\Delta(t)$ is positive, $\mathcal{NM}(t)$ is zero.

Starting from Eqs. (4), the eigenvalues of the non-Markovianity condition are $\nu_\pm = \Delta(t) \pm \sqrt{\Delta(t)^2 + \gamma(t)^2 + \Pi(t)^2}$. It is easily seen that ν_- is negative for every instant of time, as depicted in Fig. (1); indeed for sufficiently long time $\gamma(t)$ and $\Pi(t)$ become positive constants.

Therefore there is a non-Markovianity different from

zero given by the opposite of the negative eigenvalue:

$$\mathcal{NM}(t) = \frac{1}{N}\left[\sqrt{\Delta(t)^2 + \gamma(t)^2 + \Pi(t)^2} - \Delta(t)\right]. \quad (6)$$

This result is illustrated in Fig. (1). It is seen that the non-Markovianity measure Eq. (6) tends to a constant value that depends on the times scales that characterize the system and environment evolution. In particular, it depends on the ratio between the correlation time scale of the environment τ_E and the relaxation time scale τ_R, that corresponds to the rate of system state change due to the system-environment interaction. Consequently, in considering the asymptotic time limit of the measure Eq. (6), it is useful to consider it as a function of the $x = \frac{\tau_R}{\tau_E} = \frac{\omega_c}{\omega_0}$ parameter. In Fig. (2) is reported the behaviour of non-Markovianty measure as function of the parameter x. Indeed it is known that, for $x \ll 1$ the master equation Eq. (1) describes a non-Markovian evolution, while for $x \gg 1$, the dynamic tends to the Markovian regime.

It is important to note that this aspect of non-Markovianity can not be highlighted by an approach that considers the distance between states evolving in the channel [25]. Indeed, from Eq. (2), remembering that $\chi_0(\mathbf{0}) = 1$, where $\mathbf{0} = (0,0)^\intercal$ is the null vector, it is straightforward to show that, for every initial states:

$$\chi_\infty(\Lambda) \equiv \lim_{t\to\infty} \chi_t(\Lambda) = e^{-\Lambda^\intercal \bar{W}(\infty)\Lambda}, \quad (7)$$

since from the explicit expression of the Γ coefficient, it is easily to see that $\lim_{t\to\infty}\Gamma(t) = 0$. The asymptotic value $\bar{W}(\infty)$ of the $\bar{W}(t)$-matrix are reported, for a given spectral density, in the Supplementary Material. As the final state is then the same for every initial input state, every measure based by a distance approach returns zero.

The fact that the non-Markovianity measure Eq. (6) at asymptotic time is different from zero can have a deep impact on quantum technologies. As an example we consider the continuous variables quantum teleportation protocol [14, 26–28]; in particular we consider the case of an unknown coherent input state, a two mode squeezed vacuum as the teleportation resource, and we model the environment with which the resource mode sent to Bob is in contact through the non-Markovian channel Eq. (1). We remember that the efficiency of the procedure is expressed by the teleportation Fidelity $\mathcal{F}(\rho_{in}, \rho_{out})$; indeed, it is one if and only if the teleported state coincide with the unknown coherent input state and it is zero when the states can be distinguish with certainty by some suitable measure. The explicit expression of the quantum teleportation Fidelity at asymptotic time is given by [14]:

$$F(r,x) = \left[\left(\frac{2}{\mathcal{T}^2} + \cosh(2r)\right)\mathrm{Tr}(\bar{W}(x)) + 4\det(\bar{W}(x)) + \frac{\left(2 + \mathcal{T}^2\cosh(2r)\right)}{4\mathcal{T}^2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (8)$$



FIG. 2. (color online) *Upper panel*: asymptotic non-Markovianity, obtained considering the long time limit of Eq. (6) with respect to the x parameter. *Lower panel*: behaviour of the asymptotic quantum teleportation Fidelity Eq. (8) as a function of the x parameter. The squeezing parameter r of the resource is fixed to 0, as this value maximize Eq. (8). Furthermore, to highlight the effect of non-Markovianity on the behaviour of the Fidelity, we have choose to consider the ideal case, $T = 1$.

where r is the squeezing parameter of the entangled resource, $\mathcal{T}$ is the transmissivity, and x is the non-Markovianity parameter previously introduced.

From Eq. (8) we observe that for every dynamics, obtained fixing the x parameter, the Fidelity reaches its maximum when the squeezing parameter r achieve the zero value, namely when the entangled content of the resource is zero.

In Fig. (2) the behaviour of the asymptotic Fidelity Eq. (8), for $r = 0$, in reported as a function of the x parameter. We note that for $x \to \infty$ the teleportation Fidelity tends to zero, as expected for a Markovian evolution in the asymptotic limit [28]. Indeed it is well-known that, in this case, the interaction of the system with the environment has a detrimental effect on the efficiency of the protocol. For $x \ll 1$ instead, we observe a Fidelity above the classical threshold of $\frac{1}{2}$ even in the long time limit. In particular we observe this condition for $x \lesssim 10^{-2}$; furthermore in the limit for $x \to 0$ the Fidelity tends to the value $\frac{2}{3}$. This is clearly due to the non-Markovianity content of the dynamics as for this range

of the x parameter we are in the non-Markovian regime. That this result is due to the non-Markovianity property of the dynamic can be also highlighted by the asymptotic behaviour of the Fidelity when the noisy channel is the quantum optic master equation. Indeed, following the same step as in [28] it is straightforward to show that the asymptotic Fidelity is:

$$F(r,n) = \frac{2}{3+2n+\cosh(2r)}. \tag{9}$$

We observe that, even in this case, the Fidelity achieves its maximum when the squeezing parameter is zero, namely, when the entangled content is zero. Furthermore it is a monotonic decreasing function of the number of the thermal photons of the bath as expected, since the increase of the thermal noise has a disrupt effect on the quantum property of the system; hence the maximum is achieved for $n = 0$. It follows from Eq. (9) that the maximum of the Fidelity is $\frac{1}{2}$, the maximum value classically achievable; it is then impossible, at asymptotic time, in the case of a non-Markovian evolution, to outperform the classical threshold without exploiting the entangled property of the teleportation resource.

Summarizing we have shown, in the case of the QBM, that the non-Markovianity property can have a deep impact on the asymptotic behaviour of the dynamics, as reflected by the violation of the divisibility of the quantum dynamical map. Indeed, although the system, at asymptotic time, does not depend on its initial state, the asymptotic state depends on the bath through the time scales that rule the dynamics. As a consequence the asymptotic quantum fidelity is driven by the non-Markovianity.

Non-Markovianity then replaces entanglement as a resource in order to guarantee a non classical fidelity in the asymptotic regime. This result represents a first step in understanding the doubtless non-Markovianity property as a resource for the quantum information technologies.

* Corresponding author: illuminati@sa.infn.it

[1] N. Lambert, Y.-N. Chen, Y.-C. Cheng, C.-M. Li, G.-Y. Chen, and F. Nori, Nat Phys **9**, 10 (2013).

[2] M. Thorwart, J. Eckel, J. Reina, P. Nalbach, and S. Weiss, Chemical Physics Letters **478**, 234 (2009).

[3] F. Radicchi and A. Arenas, Nat Phys **9**, 717 (2013).

[4] S. Huelga and M. Plenio, Contemporary Physics **54**, 181 (2013).

[5] R. Vasile, S. Olivares, M. A. Paris, and S. Maniscalco, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 042321 (2011).

[6] A. W. Chin, S. F. Huelga, and M. B. Plenio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 233601 (2012).

[7] B. Hwang and H.-S. Goan, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 032321 (2012).

[8] A. Rivas, S. F. Huelga, and M. B. Plenio, Reports on Progress in Physics **77**, 094001 (2014).

[9] H.-P. Breuer, E.-M. Laine, J. Piilo, and B. Vacchini, Rev. Mod. Phys. **88**, 021002 (2016).

[10] G. Torre, W. Roga, and F. Illuminati, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 070401 (2015).

[11] S. Groblacher, A. Trubarov, N. Prigge, G. D. Cole, M. Aspelmeyer, and J. Eisert, Nat Commun **6**, (2015).

[12] L. A. M. Souza, H. S. Dhar, M. N. Bera, P. Liuzzo-Scorpo, and G. Adesso, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 052122 (2015).

[13] G. He, J. Zhang, J. Zhu, and G. Zeng, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 034305 (2011).

[14] G. Torre, F. Dell'Anno, S. D. Siena, and F. Illuminati, In Preparation (2016).

[15] J. Eisert and M. M. Wolf, *Quantum Information with Continuous Variables of Atoms and Light*, edited by N. J. Cerf, G. Leuchs, and E. S. Polzik, pages 23-42 (World Scientific, 2007).

[16] H.-N. Xiong, P.-Y. Lo, W.-M. Zhang, D. H. Feng, and F. Nori, Scientific Reports **5**, 13353 (2015).

[17] D. Chruściński, A. Kossakowski, and S. Pascazio, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 032101 (2010).

[18] H. Mäkelä and M. Möttönen, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 052111 (2013).

[19] B. L. Hu, J. P. Paz, and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 2843 (1992).

[20] S. Maniscalco, J. Piilo, F. Intravaia, F. Petruccione, and A. Messina, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 032113 (2004).

[21] F. Intravaia, S. Maniscalco, and A. Messina, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 042108 (2003).

[22] H. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (OUP Oxford, 2007).

[23] F. Intravaia, S. Maniscalco, and A. Messina, The European Physical Journal B - Condensed Matter and Complex Systems **32**, 97 (2008).

[24] R. Vasile, S. Olivares, M. G. A. Paris, and S. Maniscalco, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 062324 (2009).

[25] R. Vasile, S. Maniscalco, M. G. A. Paris, H.-P. Breuer, and J. Piilo, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 052118 (2011).

[26] F. Dell'Anno, S. De Siena, G. Adesso, and F. Illuminati, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 062329 (2010).

[27] F. Dell'Anno, S. De Siena, L. Albano, and F. Illuminati, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 022301 (2007).

[28] F. Dell'Anno, S. De Siena, and F. Illuminati, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 012333 (2010).